



**IN THE CLAIMS:**

1. (Currently amended) A connector comprising:

an electrically conductive member having a longitudinal section at least a portion of which provides a cylindrical hollow end;

an electrically conductive pin positioned with respect to said electrically conductive member, a first part of said electrically conductive pin being substantially centrally located within said hollow end: and

a single-piece electrically insulating body surrounding said electrically conductive member and said electrically conductive pin, said electrically conductive member having at least one leg extending in a direction transverse to said longitudinal axis and having a length sufficient to extend beyond a surface of said electrically insulating body, said electrically insulating body being provided with at least two spacing feet and at least one compressible leg.

2. (Original) The connector of Claim 1 wherein said connector is a right-angle connector.

3. (Canceled).

4. (Original) The connector of Claim 1 wherein said electrically conductive pin has a second part projecting in a direction transverse to said first part.

5. (Original) The connector of Claim 4 wherein said second part of said conductive pin extends beyond a surface of said electrically insulating body.

6. (Canceled)



**REMARKS:**

This amendment is in response to the Office Action dated 08/11/2008 and the Decision of the Board of Appeals dated April 15, 2008.

In accordance therewith, Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of Claim 3 and Claim 3 has been canceled. A claim as amended and as now presented herein has been found to be allowable by the Board Decision.

Since the remaining claims, Claims 2, 4 and 5 now depend, either directly or indirectly, from an allowable claim, favorable consideration and allowance of these claims is earnestly solicited.

“Federal district court erred in holding that dependent claim ...was invalid for lack of novelty over prior art, since dependent claim contained all limitations of independent claim, which was correctly held nonobvious.” **Hartness International v. Simplicatic**, 2 USPQ 2d, 1826, May 12, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,

William H. McNeill

Reg. No. 24426

For:

OSRAM SYLVANIA Inc.  
100 Endicott Street  
Danvers, MA 01923

Tel.: (321) 783-2000

Fax.: (321) 783-2000